

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 HABEEBULLAH RASHEED,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 ASHFORD UNIVERSITY, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:15-cv-2330 MCE CKD PS

ORDER

17 The federal venue statute provides that a civil action may be brought only in “(1) a
18 judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which
19 the district is located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions
20 giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action
21 is situated; or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in
22 this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court’s personal
23 jurisdiction with respect to such action.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

24 In this action, plaintiff alleges that the defendant University is located in San Diego and
25 that the individually named defendants are also located there. Therefore, plaintiff’s claim should
26 have been filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of California. In the interest
27 of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct
28 district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United
2 States District Court, Southern District of California.

3 Dated: November 16, 2015



4 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
5 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6

7 4 rasheed2330.tra
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28